

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/801,833	03/17/2004	Joachim Hoffmann	2185-176	3141
6449 75	90 04/05/2005		EXAMINER	
ROTHWELL,	FIGG, ERNST & MAI	HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R		
1425 K STREE SUITE 800	T, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3721	
			D. T. D. A. H. E.D. A. (05/200	<u>.</u>

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/801,833	HOFFMANN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christopher R Harmon	3721			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Fe	ebruary 200 <u>5</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers					
_	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	ut(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	, (PTO-413)			
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/801,833

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hershey, Jr. et al. (US 4,010,299).

Hershey, Jr. et al. disclose folding a sheet running transversely to a feeding direction and two subsequent parallel folds; see figures 1-3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeLise, Jr. (US 5,667,210).

DeLise, Jr. describe a process for producing multi page leaflets by performing at least one parallel fold (plow folder) then multiple cross folds (with a buckle folder) and then deflecting table and blade folder; see figure 6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to reverse the essential working parts of a device ie. switch the cross folder with the parallel folder.

5. Due to the traversal of the above rejection and the common knowledge modification, claims 1-8 are alternately rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeLise, Jr, (US 5,667,210) in view of Hershey, Jr. et al. (US 4,010,299).

Folding any number of transverse folds and parallel folds in any order is expressly taught by Hershey, Jr. et al.; see column 3, lines 19-30. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to switch the parallel folder with the cross folder in the invention to DeLise, Jr. as taught by Hershey, Jr. in order to create the multi-folded product.

6. Claims 1-8 are alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vijuk et al. (US 6,506,275) in view of Bellanca (US 5,655,866).

Vijuk et al. likewise provide a process as noted above with at least one parallel fold operation then to cross/buckle folders however disclose alternative substitutes for the two folding units (parallel/plow and cross/transverse/buckle) and specifically "numerous other embodiments and types of folding units could be utilized"; see column 10, lines 35-45.

Bellanca recognizes initially folding transverse and subsequent multiple parallel folds in the production of multiple folded sheets; see figure 1. Regarding claim 4, Bellanca teaches perforating along fold lines. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Vijuk et al. by the various teachings of Bellanca in order to produce multiple folded sheet products.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,833 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 2/15/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The feeding direction of Hershey is inherent in following the diagrammed invention; see figures. Furthermore, Hershey describes the folding operation to include steps of formation and finally applying glue and sealing. The automated process would include transporting the product downstream thus including a transport direction.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, i.e., the feeding direction during the first three foldings be the same would be taught by the multiple folds provided by the cross folder.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to switch the cross folder with the parallel folder, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/801,833

Art Unit: 3721

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R Harmon whose telephone number is (571) 272-4461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,833

Art Unit: 3721

,833 Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ch

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700